

I think that it is commendable that the FCC is interested in championing new technologies, but I do not understand why a technology such as BPL, which would almost certainly radiate significant amounts of hash across much of the high-frequency (HF) spectrum, would even be considered by an organization which, for much of it's history, has been devoted to the protection of the radio frequency spectrum, and the *elimination* of spurious emmissions and unnecessary RF interference. Further, with internet access already available via the ever-increasing number of cable television companies as well as traditional Internet Service Providers (ISP), basic internet connectivity should not be difficult for anyone with a telephone line. I seriously question whether the general population *needs* broadband access. For those who do, there are exciting new non-polluting technologies, such as broadband distribution via fiber-optic lines, or via direct satellite transmission. RF Spectrum space is in fact a finite resource, which must be respected in the same way that we must respect other natural resources. In my opinion, distributing broadband hash over the localized power grid, is environmentally irresponsible, especially since completely clean and more effective distribution solutions, such as fiber optics, already exist. It is these inherently clean, and more robust technologies which should be championed and supported - not those which are inherently polluting such as BPL.